Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Characterization of exponential distribution through bivariate regression of record values revisited

George P. Yanev

arXiv:1702.06195v1 [math.PR] 20 Feb 2017

Abstract It is shown that the exponential is the only distribution which satisfies a certain regression equation. This characterization equation involves the conditional expectation (regression function) of a record value given a pair of record values, one previous and one future, as covariates. The underlying distribution is exponential if and only if the above regression equals the expected value of an appropriately defined Beta distributed random variable. In a particular case, the expected value of the Beta variable reduces to a weighted average of the covariates.

Keywords characterization · exponential distribution · Beta distribution · record values

1 Introduction

I first met Professor Ahsanullah a.k.a. Moe at a conference in 2002. Later, he spent the academic year 2005-2006 at the Department of mathematics of the University of South Florida as a Visiting Professor. Despite some health problems, Moe taught two classes, did research, and advised a Ph.D. student, who later defended successfully his thesis. At one of the weekly meetings of the Probability and Statistics seminar, Moe posed the open question of characterizing probability distributions by bivariate regression of record values. Under his leadership, M. Beg (also visiting) and myself started working on that problem. The results of this collaboration appeared in Yanev et al. (2008) and were extended in Yanev (2012). Here, we revisit the bivariate regression problem, obtaining an alternative form for the right-hand side of the characterization equation and providing some additional insight.

To formulate and discuss the obtained results, we need to introduce the following notation. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots$ be independent copies of a random variable X with an absolutely continuous distribution function $F(x)$. One observation in a discrete time series is called a (upper) record value if it exceeds all previous observations, i.e., X_j is a (upper) record value if $X_j > X_i$ for all $i < j$. More precisely, let us define the classical (upper) record times T_n and (upper) record values R_n as follows: $T_1 = 1$, $R_1 = X_1$, and then $T_{n+1} = \min\{j : X_j > R_n\}$, $R_{n+1} = X_{T_{n+1}}$, $n = 1, 2 \dots$ (see Ahsanullah and Nevzorov (2015), p.46).

Let $F(x)$ be the exponential cumulative distribution function

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-c(x - l_F)} \qquad (-\infty < l_F \le x), \tag{1}$$

George P. Yanev
School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley
1201 W. University Drive, Edinburg, Texas, 78539 USA
Tel.: (956) 665-3632, E-mail: george.yanev@utrgv.edu

where $c > 0$ is an arbitrary constant. The distribution (1) with $l_F > 0$ appears, for example, in reliability studies where l_F represents the guarantee time; that is, failure cannot occur before l_F units of time have elapsed (see Barlow and Proschan (1996), p.13).

We study characterizations of exponential distributions in terms of the regression of one record value with two other record values as covariates. More precisely, we examine the regression function for $1 \le s \le n-1$ and $r \ge 1$

$$E[\psi(R_n) \mid R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v] \qquad (l_F \le u < v), \tag{2}$$

where ψ is a function that satisfies certain regularity conditions. For a connection with the doubly-censored regression $E[\psi(X) \mid x < X \le y]$, we refer to Pakes (2004), Section 5.

Let us introduce a four-parameter (generalized) Beta random variable $B_{r,s}(u,v)$ with probability density function (see Johnson et al. (1995) vol. 2, p.210) for $r > 0$ and $s > 0$

$$f_B(y) = \frac{1}{B(r,s)} \frac{(y-u)^{s-1}(v-y)^{r-1}}{(v-u)^{r+s-1}} \qquad (u \le y \le v), \tag{3}$$

where $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Beta function. The family of distributions (3) includes the uniform ($s = r = 1$) and the power function ($s = 1$ or $r = 1$) distributions as special cases.

The following result should be known, however I could not find it formulated anywhere.

Proposition If X is exponential with (1) and $E[\psi(B_{r,s}(u,v))] < \infty$, then for $r \ge 1$, $1 \le s \le n-1$, and $0 < u < v$

$$E\left[\psi(R_n) \mid R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v\right] = E\left[\psi(B_{r,s}(u,v))\right]. \tag{4}$$

Remark. Define the increments of record values as $\Delta_{m,n} := R_n - R_m$ for $n > m$. It is known (see Ahsanullah and Nevzorov (2015), p.65) that, if the underlying distribution is exponential, then for any k, $R_k \stackrel{d}{=} E_1 + E_2 + \ldots + E_k$, where E_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ are independent and unit exponential random variables. Therefore, $\Delta_{n-s,n}$ and $\Delta_{n,n+r}$ are independent and distributed $Ga(s,1)$ and $Ga(r,1)$, respectively, where $Ga(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma distribution. Thus, using a well-known property of Beta distribution (see Johnson et al. (1994), vol.1, p.350) the equation (4) can be written as

$$E\left[\psi(R_n) \mid R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v\right] = E\left[\psi\left(\frac{u\Delta_{n,n+r} + v\Delta_{n-s,n}}{\Delta_{n-s,n+r}}\right)\right].$$

Next, we shall address the question if, under some regularity assumptions on F and ψ and their derivatives, (4) is also a sufficient condition for (1). Bairamov et al. (2005) consider (2) in the particular case when both covariates are adjacent (one spacing away) to R_n. (See also Bairamov and Ozkal (2007) for similar result about order statistics.) They prove, under some regularity conditions, that F is exponential if and only if for a function ψ

$$E\left[\psi(R_n) \mid R_{n-1} = u, R_{n+1} = v\right] = \frac{1}{v-u}\int_u^v \psi(t)\,dt \qquad (l_F \le u < v). \tag{5}$$

Observing that the right-hand side of (5) equals $E[\psi(B_{1,1}(u,v))]$, one can rewrite (5) as

$$E\left[\psi(R_n) \mid R_{n-1} = u, R_{n+1} = v\right] = E[\psi\left(B_{1,1}(u,v)\right)] \qquad (l_F \le u < v). \tag{6}$$

Further on we will assume that the function $g(x)$ satisfies the following conditions for some positive integers r and s:

(i) $g^{(r+s)}(x)$ exists and is continuous in (l_F, ∞);
(ii) $|g^{(r+s)}(l_F+)| < \infty$ for $r = 2$; $|g^{(r+s+r-1)}(l_F+)| < \infty$ for $r \ge 3$;
(iii) $g^{(r+s)}(l_F+) \ne 0$.

Denote the cumulative hazard function of X by $H(x) := -\ln(1 - F(x))$ for $x \ge l_F$. Let $F(x)$ satisfies the following conditions for some positive integers r and s:

(iv) $F^{(m)}(x)$ for $m = \max\{s, r\}$ exists and is continuous in (l_F, ∞);
(v) $H'(x)$ is nowhere constant in a small interval $(l_F, l_F + \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$;
(vi) $H'(l_F+) > 0$ and $|H^{(m)}(l_F+) < \infty$ for $m \le \max\{3, r\}$.

Extending (6) to covariate record values non-adjacent to R_n, we obtain our main result.

Theorem If (i)-(vi) hold and for $1 \le s \le n-1$, $r \ge 1$, and $l_F < u < v$

$$E\left[g^{(r+s-1)}(R_n) \mid R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v\right] = E\left[g^{(r+s-1)}(B_{r,s}(u, v))\right], \tag{7}$$

then X is exponential with (1) for some $c > 0$.

Setting $g(x) = x^{r+s}/(r+s)!$, hence $g^{(r+s-1)}(x) = x$, and taking into account that $E[B_{r,s}(u, v)] = (ur + vs)/(r + s)$, one can see that the above results imply the following.

Corollary Let n, r, and s be integers, such that $1 \le s \le n-1$ and $r \ge 1$. Suppose that the assumptions (iv)-(vi) of the Theorem hold. Then $F(x)$ is exponential (1) with $c = H'(l_F+)$ if and only if

$$E[R_n | R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v] = \frac{r}{r+s}u + \frac{s}{r+s}v \qquad (l_F \le u < v). \tag{8}$$

Note that the right-hand side of (8) is a linear function of u and v. It is a weighted average of the two covariate record values, where the weight of each covariate is proportional to the distance, in number of spacings, from R_n to the other covariate. In particular, for any r, such that $1 \le r \le n-1$, (8) simplifies to

$$E[R_n | R_{n-r} = u, R_{n+r} = v] = \frac{u+v}{2} \qquad (l_F \le u < v).$$

In Sections 2 and 3, we shall prove the Proposition and the Theorem, respectively. The last section includes some concluding remarks.

2 Proof of the Proposition

Using the Markov dependence of record values (e.g., Nevzorov (2001), p.68), for the conditional density of R_n given $R_{n-s} = u$ and $R_{n+r} = v$ for $u \le t \le v$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n|n-s,n+r}(x|u,v) &= \frac{f_{n+r|n-s,n}(v|u,x) f_{n-s,n}(u,x)}{f_{n-s,n+r}(u,v)} \\ &= \frac{f_{n+r|n}(v|x) f_{n-s,n}(u,x)}{f_{n-s,n+r}(u,v)} \\ &= \frac{f_{n,n+r}(x,v) f_{n-s,n}(u,x)}{f_n(x) f_{n-s,n+r}(u,v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Assuming (1), we have (e.g., Ahsanullah and Nevzorov (2015), p.80)

$$f_n(x) = \frac{c^n (x - l_F)^n}{n!} f(x), \qquad f_{m,n}(x_m, x_n) = \frac{c^n (x_m - l_F)^m (x_n - x_m)^{n-m-1}}{m!(n-m-1)!} f(x_n). \tag{10}$$

Combining (9) and (10), we obtain

$$f_{n|n-s,n+r}(t|u,v) = \frac{1}{B(r,s)} \frac{(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^{r-1}}{(v-u)^{r+s-1}},$$

which is the probability density function of a four-parameter Beta distribution. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\varphi(R_n) \mid R_{n-s} = u, R_{n+r} = v\right] &= \frac{1}{B(r,s)} \int_u^v \varphi(t) \frac{(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^{r-1}}{(v-u)^{r+s-1}}\, dt \\ &= E\left[\varphi(B_{r,s}(u,v))\right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4).

3 Proof of the Theorem

For a given function $g(x)$ and non-negative integers i and j, define for $u \neq v$

$$ {}_iM_j(u,v) := \frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial u^i \partial v^j}\left(\frac{g(v)-g(u)}{v-u}\right). $$

Under the assumptions of the Theorem, it was proven in Yanev (2012) that if

$$ E\left[g^{(r+s-1)}(R_n) \mid R_{n-s}=u, R_{n+r}=v\right] = \frac{1}{B(r,s)}\ {}_{r-1}M_{s-1}(u,v), \tag{11} $$

then X is exponential with (1). Therefore, to prove the Theorem, it is sufficient to show that the right-hand sides of (7) and (11) are equal, i.e., for $r \geq 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq n-1$

$$ E[g^{(r+s-1)}(B_{r,s}(u,v))] = \frac{1}{B(r,s)}\ {}_{r-1}M_{s-1}(u,v). \tag{12} $$

It is not difficult to verify (12) for $r=s=1$. Indeed, referring to (3), we have

$$ E[g'(B_{1,1}(u,v))] = \frac{1}{B(1,1)}\int_u^v \frac{g'(t)}{v-u}\,dt = \frac{1}{B(1,1)}\frac{g(v)-g(u)}{v-u}. $$

Next, assuming (12) for $r=1$ and $1 \leq s = i \leq n-2$, we shall prove it for $r=1$ and $s=i+1$. One can verify (see Lemma 1 in Yanev et al. (2008)) the following identity between the derivatives $g^{(j)}(x)$ and ${}_0M_j(u,v)$ for $j \geq 1$ and $u < v$

$$ g^{(j)}(v) = (v-u)\ {}_0M_j(u,v) + j\ {}_0M_{j-1}(u,v). \tag{13} $$

Using the induction assumption

$$ E\left[g^{(i)}(B_{1,i}(u,v))\right] = i\ {}_0M_{i-1}(u,v), $$

we obtain

$$ \begin{aligned} E[g^{(i+1)}(B_{1,i+1}(u,v))] &= \frac{1}{B(1,i+1)}\int_u^v g^{(i+1)}(t)\frac{(t-u)^i}{(v-u)^{i+1}}\,dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(1,i+1)}\frac{1}{(v-u)^{i+1}}\left[g^{(i)}(v)(v-u)^i - i\int_u^v g^{(i)}(t)(t-u)^{i-1}\,dt\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{B(1,i+1)}\frac{1}{(v-u)^{i+1}}\left[g^{(i)}(v)(v-u)^i - (v-u)^i E[g^{(i)}(B_{1,i}(u,v))]\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{B(1,i+1)}\frac{1}{v-u}\left[g^{(i)}(v) - i\ {}_0M_{i-1}(u,v)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{B(1,i+1)}\ {}_0M_i(u,v), \end{aligned} $$

where the last equality follows from (13). This proves (12) for $r=1$ and any $1 \leq s \leq n-1$. Similarly, one can prove (12) for $s=1$ and any $r>1$, i.e.,

$$ E\left[g^{(r)}(B_{r,1}(u,v))\right] = r\ {}_{r-1}M_0(u,v). $$

To complete the proof of (12), it remains to establish it for any $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq s \leq n-1$. Assuming (12) for $r=j$ and any fixed $2 \leq s \leq n-1$, we shall prove it for $r=j+1$ and $2 \leq s \leq n-1$, i.e., we shall prove that

$$ E[g^{(s+j)}(B_{j+1,s}(u,v))] = \frac{1}{B(j+1,s)}\ {}_jM_{s-1}(u,v). $$

Since

$$E[g^{(s+j)}(B_{j+1,s}(u,v))] = \frac{1}{B(j+1,s)} \int_u^v g^{(s+j)}(t) \frac{(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^j}{(v-u)^{s+j}}\, dt,$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$I(j+1,s) := \int_u^v g^{(s+j)}(t)(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^j\, dt = (v-u)^{s+j}\ {}_jM_{s-1}(u,v), \tag{14}$$

provided that (induction assumption)

$$I(j,s) := \int_u^v g^{(s+j-1)}(t)(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^{j-1}\, dt = (v-u)^{s+j-1}\ {}_{j-1}M_{s-1}(u,v). \tag{15}$$

Integrating (15) by parts, we obtain

$$I(j,s) = \frac{1}{j} \int_u^v g^{(s+j)}(t)(t-u)^{s-1}(v-t)^j\, dt + \frac{s-1}{j} \int_u^v g^{s+j-1}(t)(t-u)^{s-2}(v-t)^j\, dt.$$

Hence,

$$I(j+1,s) = jI(j,s) - (s-1)I(j+1,s-1).$$

Iterating last equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(j+1,s) &= jI(k,j) - j(k-1)I(k-1,j) + j(k-1)(k-2)I(k-2,j+1) \\ &= j\sum_{i=0}^{s-2} a_i I(j,s-i) + a_{s-1} \int_u^v g^{(j+1)}(t)(v-t)^j\, dt, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $a_i = (-1)^i(s-1)!/(s-1-i)!$ for $i = 1,2,\ldots,s-1$; $a_0 = 1$. Observe that (see Lemma 1 in Yanev et al. (2008)) for $i,j \geq 1$ and $v > u$

$$i\ {}_{i-1}M_j(u,v) = (v-u)\ {}_iM_j(u,v) + j\ {}_iM_{j-1}(u,v). \tag{17}$$

Finally, from (16), using repeatedly (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I(j+1,s)}{(v-u)^{j+1}} &= j\sum_{i=0}^{s-2} a_i (v-u)^{s-2-i}\ {}_{j-1}M_{s-1-i}(u,v) + a_{s-1}\ {}_jM_0(u,v) \\ &= j\sum_{i=0}^{s-3} a_i (v-u)^{s-2-i}\ {}_{j-1}M_{s-1-i}(u,v) + a_{s-2}[j\ {}_{j-1}M_1(u,v) - {}_jM_0(u,v)] \\ &= j\sum_{i=0}^{s-3} a_i (v-u)^{s-2-i}\ {}_{j-1}M_{s-1-i}(u,v) + a_{s-2}(v-u)\ {}_jM_1(u,v) \\ &\quad \cdots \\ &= j(v-u)^{s-2}\ {}_{j-1}M_{s-1}(u,v) - (s-1)(v-u)^{s-2}\ {}_jM_{s-2}(u,v) \\ &= (v-u)^{s-1}\ {}_jM_{s-1}(u,v). \end{aligned}$$

This implies (14) and thus proves (12) for any $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq s \leq n-1$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

4 Concluding remarks

The main result in this paper is a characterization of the exponential distribution via a bivariate regression relation of record values. Introducing an appropriate, generalized Beta distributed, random variable, we simplify the characteristic equation obtained previously by Yanev (2012).

The regularity assumptions on the functions F and ψ and their derivatives in the Theorem are the same as those in Yanev (2012). Some of these conditions are quite technical and are needed to reach a contradiction in Yanev's (2012) proof. Using a different technique of proof, for example utilizing differential equations as in Bhatt (2013) or general integral equations, one might be able to weaken these assumptions. Another question of interest is whether the presented characterization results can be extended to regression relations of order variables from other sub-classes of the generalized order statistics.

Acknowledgment I thank the anonymous referee for the useful suggestions, which improve the presentation. The author was partially supported by the NFSR 190 at the MES of Bulgaria, Grant No DFNI-I02/17 while on leave from the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences.

References

1. Ahsanullah, M. and Nevzorov, V.B. (2015). Records via Probability Theory, Atlantis Press, Amsterdam.
2. Bairamov, I., Ahsanullah, M., Pakes, A. (2005). A characterization of continuous distributions via regression on pairs of record values. Austr. N.Z. J. Statist. 47:543-547.
3. Bairamov, I., Oskal, T. (2007). On characterization of distributions through the properties of conditional expectations of order statistics. Commun. Statist. Theory and Methods, 36:13191326.
4. Barlow, R.E., Proschan, F. (1996). Mathematical Theory of Reliability, SIAM, Philadelphia.
5. Bhatt, M.B. (2013). Characterization of negative exponential distribution through expectation. Open Journal of Statistics, 3, 5:367-369.
6. Johnson, N.L., Kotz, S., Balakrishnan, N. (1994). Continuous Univariate Distributions, Volume 1, Wiley, New York.
7. Johnson, N.L., Kotz, S., Balakrishnan, N. (1995). Continuous Univariate Distributions, Volume 2, Wiley, New York.
8. Nevzorov, V.B. (2001). Records: Mathematical Theory, AMS, Providence, RI.
9. Pakes, A.G. (2004). Product integration and characterization of probability laws. J. Appl. Statist. Sci. 13, 1:11-31.
10. Yanev, G.P. (2012). Characterization of exponential distribution via regression of one record value on two non-adjacent record values. Metrika 75:743-760.
11. Yanev, G.P., Ahsanullah, M., Beg, M.I. (2008). Characterizations of probability distributions via bivariate regression of record values. Metrika 68:51-64.